

文章编号:2095-6134(2017)06-0657-03

# A note of Riesz transform on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ \*

WEI Mingquan<sup>1,2</sup>, SHEN Feng<sup>2</sup>, YAN Dunyan<sup>2†</sup>

(1 School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, Henan, China;

2 School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China)

(Received 8 June 2016; Revised 13 July 2016)

Wei M Q, Shen F, Yan D Y. A note of Riesz transform on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [J]. Journal of University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2017,34(6):657-659.

**Abstract** In this work, we prove that, for a non-zero function  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , its Riesz transform  $Rf$  does not have compact support, which improves the known result of Hilbert transform.

**Keywords** Riesz transform;  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; compact support

**CLC number:** O174.2 **Document code:** A **doi:**10.7523/j.issn.2095-6134.2017.06.001

## $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 函数的 Riesz 变换的一个注记

魏明权<sup>1,2</sup>, 沈峰<sup>2</sup>, 燕敦彦<sup>2</sup>

(1 信阳师范学院数学与统计学院, 河南 信阳 464000; 2 中国科学院大学数学科学学院, 北京 100049)

**摘要** 证明,对于任意一个非零  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  函数  $f$ , 它的 Riesz 变换  $Rf$  不具有紧支集。这推广了已知的 Hilbert 变换的结果。

**关键词** Riesz 变换;  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; 紧支集

For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the classical Hilbert transform is defined as

$$Hf(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt, \quad (1)$$

where “p. v.” is the Cauchy principal value (see Ref. [1]), that is

$$\text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-t| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt.$$

Yang<sup>[2]</sup> proved that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})) = \{0\}$ , where

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\phi : \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

$$\forall \alpha \in N_0^n, \rho_\alpha(\phi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha \phi(x)| < \infty \},$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

That is to say the function  $Hf$  does not have compact support for all non-zero function  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Consider  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert transform  $H_n$  is defined as

$$H_n f(x) := \frac{1}{\pi^n} \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(t)}{(x_1 - t_1)(x_2 - t_2) \cdots (x_n - t_n)} dt. \quad (2)$$

\* Supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (11471309, 11271162, and 11561062), and Project of Henan Provincial Department of Education(18A110028)

† Corresponding author, E-mail: ydunyan@ucas.ac.cn

For  $n = 2$  Cui et al. [3] obtained the result:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap H_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \{0\}.$$

When  $n > 2$ , Shen [4] proved

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_n(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{0\}. \quad (3)$$

We have known that Hilbert transform has a relation with Fourier transform which can be presented as

$$\widehat{Hf}(\xi) = -i \cdot \text{sgn}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the Riesz transform  $R_j$  ( $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ) is defined as

$$R_j f(x) := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x - y|^{n+1}} f(y) dy,$$

and we have (see Ref. [1])

$$\widehat{R_j f}(\xi) = -i \cdot \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi). \quad (5)$$

If  $n = 1$ , the identity (5) will reduce to (4).

In this sense, Riesz transform is the higher-dimensional form of Hilbert transform. Motivated by Refs. [2-4], we shall consider whether the equation

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap R_j(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{0\} \quad (6)$$

holds for  $n > 1$ .

In section 1, we will give an affirmative answer for (6).

## 1 Main results

Before we prove our main theorem, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1** Suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with compact support. Then we have  $\widehat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

This lemma is a basic result which appears in many books on real analysis and we refer readers to Ref. [5].

**Lemma 1.2** Suppose that  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g(x) = \frac{x_j}{|x|} f(x)$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we have  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^\alpha f(0) = 0$  for all multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

**Proof** Considering the continuity of the function  $g$ , we have

$$g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|} f(0). \quad (7)$$

The equality (7) implies that  $f(0) = 0$ .

When  $|\alpha| = 1$ , taking derivatives on  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{x_j}{|x|} + f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x_j}{|x|} \right), \quad i \neq j; \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(x) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) \frac{x_j}{|x|} + f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{x_j}{|x|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By substituting  $x = 0$  into (8), there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(0) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|}, \quad i \neq j; \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(0) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(0)$  and  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0)$  all exist and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_i}{|x|}$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) does not exist while  $x$  tends to 0, we derive from (9) that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0) = 0, \quad (10)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Now we use induction method to solve the case  $|\alpha| \geq 2$ .

Suppose that for any multiple-index  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < |\alpha|$ , there holds

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\beta f(0) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha g(x) &= \frac{x_j}{|x|} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha f(x) + \\ &\sum_{\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\gamma f(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\delta \left( \frac{x_j}{|x|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The assumption  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  implies that  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^\alpha g(x)$  exists for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we obtain from (11) and (12) that

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha f(0). \quad (13)$$

Thus we immediately get  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^\alpha f(0) = 0$  from (13). □

Now we shall prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $R_j f$  has compact support, then  $\widehat{R_j f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \equiv 0$ .

**Proof** First we obtain  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  from  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Since the Riesz transform  $R_j$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , we obtain that  $R_j f$  is also in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore,  $R_j f \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  from the assumption that  $R_j f$  has compact support. By Lemma 1.1, there holds  $\widehat{R_j f} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  means that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f$  has compact support, by using Lemma 1.1 again, it yields  $\widehat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Noting  $\widehat{R_j f}(\xi) = -i \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)$  by (5), we conclude

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha \widehat{f}(0) = 0, \tag{14}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  by Lemma 1.2.

That is to say,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(x) dx = 0 \tag{15}$$

holds for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

Let  $\text{supp } f \in Q$ , then the identity

$$\int_Q P(x) f(x) dx = 0 \tag{16}$$

holds for all polynomials  $P$  by (15).

The collection of all continuous functions defined on  $Q$  is denoted by  $C(Q)$ . Considering that the polynomials are dense in the functional space  $C(Q)$ , it immediately follows  $f \equiv 0$  from (16).  $\square$

Obviously, Theorem 1.1 implies (6), which is our main conclusion.

### References

- [ 1 ] Grafakos L. Classical and modern Fourier analysis [M]. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc, 2004.
- [ 2 ] Yang L. A distribution space for Hilbert transform and its applications [J]. Science in China Series A: Mathematics, 2008, 51(12): 2217-2230.
- [ 3 ] Cui X, Wang R, Yan D. Some properties for double Hilbert transform on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  [J]. Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 2013, 8(4): 783-799.
- [ 4 ] Shen F. High-dimensional Hilbert transform on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [D]. Beijing: University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2014 (in Chinese).
- [ 5 ] Royden H L, Fitzpatrick P. Real analysis [M]. New York: Macmillan, 1988.