

A note of Riesz transform on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$

WEI Mingquan^{1,2}, SHEN Feng², YAN Dunyan^{2†}

(1 School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, Henan, China;

2 School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China)

(Received 8 June 2016; Revised 13 July 2016)

Wei M Q, Shen F, Yan D Y. A note of Riesz transform on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [J]. Journal of University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2017, 34(6): 657-659.

Abstract In this work, we prove that, for a non-zero function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its Riesz transform Rf does not have compact support, which improves the known result of Hilbert transform.

Keywords Riesz transform; $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; compact support

CLC number: O174.2 **Document code:** A **doi:** 10.7523/j.issn.2095-6134.2017.06.001

$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 函数的 Riesz 变换的一个注记

魏明权^{1,2}, 沈峰², 燕敦彦²

(1 信阳师范学院数学与统计学院, 河南 信阳 464000; 2 中国科学院大学数学科学学院, 北京 100049)

摘 要 证明, 对于任意一个非零 $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 函数 f , 它的 Riesz 变换 Rf 不具有紧支集。这推广了已知的 Hilbert 变换的结果。

关键词 Riesz 变换; $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; 紧支集

For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the classical Hilbert transform is defined as

$$Hf(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt, \quad (1)$$

where “p. v.” is the Cauchy principal value (see Ref. [1]), that is

$$\text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-t| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt.$$

Yang^[2] proved that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})) = \{0\}$, where

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\phi : \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$\forall \alpha \in N_0^n, \rho_\alpha(\phi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha \phi(x)| < \infty\},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

That is to say the function Hf does not have compact support for all non-zero function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$.

Consider n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the n -dimensional Hilbert transform H_n is defined as

$$H_n f(x) := \frac{1}{\pi^n} \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(t)}{(x_1 - t_1)(x_2 - t_2) \cdots (x_n - t_n)} dt. \quad (2)$$

* Supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (11471309, 11271162, and 11561062), and Project of Henan Provincial Department of Education (18A110028)

† Corresponding author, E-mail: ydunyan@ucas.ac.cn

For $n = 2$ Cui et al. [3] obtained the result:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap H_2(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \{0\}.$$

When $n > 2$, Shen [4] proved

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_n(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{0\}. \quad (3)$$

We have known that Hilbert transform has a relation with Fourier transform which can be presented as

$$\widehat{Hf}(\xi) = -i \cdot \text{sgn}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Riesz transform R_j ($j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) is defined as

$$R_j f(x) = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x - y|^{n+1}} f(y) dy,$$

and we have (see Ref. [1])

$$\widehat{R_j f}(\xi) = -i \cdot \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi). \quad (5)$$

If $n = 1$, the identity (5) will reduce to (4). In this sense, Riesz transform is the higher-dimensional form of Hilbert transform. Motivated by Refs. [2-4], we shall consider whether the equation

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap R_j(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{0\} \quad (6)$$

holds for $n > 1$.

In section 1, we will give an affirmative answer for (6).

1 Main results

Before we prove our main theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 Suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support. Then we have $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This lemma is a basic result which appears in many books on real analysis and we refer readers to Ref. [5].

Lemma 1.2 Suppose that $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g(x) = \frac{x_j}{|x|} f(x)$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then we have $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha f(0) = 0$ for all multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Proof Considering the continuity of the function g , we have

$$g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|} f(0). \quad (7)$$

The equality (7) implies that $f(0) = 0$.

When $|\alpha| = 1$, taking derivatives on g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{x_j}{|x|} + f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{x_j}{|x|} \right), \quad i \neq j; \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(x) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) \frac{x_j}{|x|} + f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{x_j}{|x|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By substituting $x = 0$ into (8), there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(0) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|}, \quad i \neq j; \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(0) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(0)$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0)$ all exist and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_i}{|x|}$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$) does not exist while x tends to 0, we derive from (9) that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0) = 0, \quad (10)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Now we use induction method to solve the case $|\alpha| \geq 2$.

Suppose that for any multiple-index β with $|\beta| < |\alpha|$, there holds

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\beta f(0) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha g(x) &= \frac{x_j}{|x|} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha f(x) + \\ &\sum_{\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\gamma f(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\delta \left(\frac{x_j}{|x|}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The assumption $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha g(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we obtain from (11) and (12) that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_j}{|x|} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha f(0). \quad (13)$$

Thus we immediately get $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha f(0) = 0$ from (13). \square

Now we shall prove our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $R_j f$ has compact support, then $\widehat{R_j f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \equiv 0$.

Proof First we obtain $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since the Riesz transform R_j is of strong type (p, p) with $1 < p < \infty$, we obtain that $R_j f$ is also in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, $R_j f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from the assumption that $R_j f$ has compact support. By Lemma 1.1, there holds $\hat{R}_j f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ means that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and f has compact support, by using Lemma 1.1 again, it yields $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Noting $\hat{R}_j f(\xi) = -i \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi)$ by (5), we conclude

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha \hat{f}(0) = 0, \quad (14)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ by Lemma 1.2.

That is to say,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(x) dx = 0 \quad (15)$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Let $\text{supp } f \in Q$, then the identity

$$\int_Q P(x) f(x) dx = 0 \quad (16)$$

holds for all polynomials P by (15).

The collection of all continuous functions defined on Q is denoted by $C(Q)$. Considering that the polynomials are dense in the functional space $C(Q)$, it immediately follows $f \equiv 0$ from (16). \square

Obviously, Theorem 1.1 implies (6), which is our main conclusion.

References

- [1] Grafakos L. Classical and modern Fourier analysis [M]. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc, 2004.
- [2] Yang L. A distribution space for Hilbert transform and its applications [J]. Science in China Series A: Mathematics, 2008, 51(12): 2217-2230.
- [3] Cui X, Wang R, Yan D. Some properties for double Hilbert transform on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [J]. Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 2013, 8(4): 783-799.
- [4] Shen F. High-dimensional Hilbert transform on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [D]. Beijing: University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2014 (in Chinese).
- [5] Royden H L, Fitzpatrick P. Real analysis [M]. New York: Macmillan, 1988.